arXiv:1506.07063v2 [math.AP] 3 Aug 2016

Heat flow in Riemannian manifolds with non-negative Ricci curvature

M. van den Berg
School of Mathematics
University of Bristol
University Walk, Bristol BS8 1TW
United Kingdom
`mamvdb@bristol.ac.uk`

3 August 2016

Abstract

Let Ω be an open set in a geodesically complete, non-compact, m-dimensional Riemannian manifold M with non-negative Ricci curvature, and without boundary. We study the heat flow from Ω into $M-\Omega$ if the initial temperature distribution is the characteristic function of Ω. We obtain a necessary and sufficient condition which ensures that an open set Ω with infinite measure has finite heat content for all $t>0$. We also obtain upper and lower bounds for the heat content of Ω in M. Two-sided bounds are obtained for the heat loss of Ω in M if the measure of Ω is finite.

Keywords: Heat flow; Riemannian manifold; non-negative Ricci curvature.

AMS 2000 *subject classifications.* 58J32; 58J35; 35K20.

Acknowledgement. The author acknowledges support by The Leverhulme Trust through International Network Grant *Laplacians, Random Walks, Bose Gas, Quantum Spin Systems*. It is a pleasure to thank Katie Gittins and Alexander Grigor'yan for helpful discussions.

1 Introduction

Let (M, g) be a geodesically complete, smooth, m-dimensional Riemannian manifold without boundary, and let Δ be the Laplace-Beltrami operator on M. It is well known (see [6], [7]) that the heat equation

$$\Delta u(x;t) = \frac{\partial u(x;t)}{\partial t}, \quad x \in M, \quad t > 0, \tag{1}$$

has a unique, minimal, positive fundamental solution $p_M(x, y; t)$ where $x \in M$, $y \in M$, $t > 0$. This solution, the heat kernel for M, is symmetric in x, y, strictly positive, jointly smooth in $x, y \in M$ and $t > 0$, and it satisfies the semigroup property

$$p_M(x, y; s + t) = \int_M dz \; p_M(x, z; s) p_M(z, y; t), \tag{2}$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $t, s > 0$, where dz is the Riemannian measure on M. See, for example, [13] for details.

We denote by $d : M \times M \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+$ the geodesic distance associated to (M, g). For $x \in M$, $R > 0$, $B(x; R) = \{y \in M : d(x, y) < R\}$. For a measurable set $A \subset M$ we denote by $|A|$ its Lebesgue measure. If $A \subset M$ is a Cacciopoli set then we denote by $\mathcal{P}$ its perimeter.

In this paper, we obtain some results for the heat flow from an open set Ω with boundary $\partial\Omega$ into its complement $M - \Omega$ with the characteristic function of Ω as the initial temperature distribution. Define $u_\Omega : \Omega \times (0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ by

$$u_\Omega(x;t) = \int_\Omega dy \, p_M(x, y; t). \tag{3}$$

Then u_Ω is a solution of the heat equation (1) and satisfies

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} u_\Omega(x;t) = \mathbf{1}_\Omega(x), \; x \in M - \partial\Omega, \tag{4}$$

where $\mathbf{1}_\Omega : M \mapsto \{0, 1\}$ is the characteristic function of Ω, and where the convergence in (4) is locally uniform. It can be shown that if $|\Omega| < \infty$, then the convergence is also in $L^1(M)$. If Ω has infinite measure and $|\partial\Omega| = 0$, then the convergence is also in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(M)$ (Section 7.4 in [8]). Furthermore, if for some $p \in M, r_0 > 0$, $\int_{r_0}^\infty dr\, r(\log|B(p;r)|)^{-1} = +\infty$, then u_Ω defined by (3) is the unique, bounded solution of (1) with the initial condition (4) in the sense of $L^1_{\text{loc}}(M)$. We refer to Chapter 9 in [7].

We define the *heat content of* Ω *in* M *at time* t by

$$H_\Omega(t) = \int_\Omega dx \, u_\Omega(x;t) = \int_\Omega dx \int_\Omega dy \, p_M(x, y; t). \tag{5}$$

$H_\Omega(t)$ is the amount of heat left in Ω at time t if all physical constants, such as specific heat and thermal conductivity, have been put equal to 1. In general, the problem of finding $H_\Omega(t)$ as a function of t is difficult as it requires an explicit formula for the heat kernel $p_M(x, y; t)$. Even in the case of $\mathbb{R}^m$, where such an explicit formula is available, it is not easy to calculate the asymptotic behaviour of $H_\Omega(t)$ as $t \downarrow 0$ for example. Similar problems have been studied in the Euclidean setting in [10], [11], [12] and subsequently in [1], [3] and [4].

The results in Theorem 2.4 of [11] imply that if Ω is an open, bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$ with $C^{1,1}$-boundary $\partial\Omega$ then

$$H_\Omega(t) = |\Omega| - \pi^{-1/2}\mathcal{P}(\Omega)t^{1/2} + o(t^{1/2}),\ t \downarrow 0. \tag{6}$$

The uniform remainder estimates obtained in [3] improve upon (6), and imply an $O(t)$ remainder in (6).

In the Riemannian manifold setting, it was shown ([2]) that if Ω is non-empty, bounded, $\partial\Omega$ is of class C^∞, and if (M, g) satisfies exactly one of the following three conditions: (i) M is compact and without boundary, (ii) $(M, g) = (\mathbb{R}^m, g_e)$ where g_e is the usual Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^m$, (iii) M is a compact submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^m$ with smooth boundary and $g = g_e|_M$, then there exists a complete asymptotic series such that

$$H_\Omega(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{J-1} \beta_j t^{j/2} + O(t^{J/2}),\ t \downarrow 0, \tag{7}$$

where $J \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary, and where the $\beta_j : j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ are locally computable geometric invariants. For example, by [2], we have that

$$\beta_0 = |\Omega|,\ \beta_1 = -\pi^{-1/2}\mathcal{P}(\Omega),\ \beta_2 = 0.$$

The main results of this paper concern the situation where Ω is an open set with infinite measure in a complete, non-compact, m-dimensional Riemannian manifold M with non-negative Ricci curvature.

Definition 1. *For $x \in M$, $\Omega \subset M$, and $R > 0$,*

$$\mu_\Omega(x; R) = |B(x; R) \cap \Omega|.$$

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 2. *Let M be a complete, non-compact, m-dimensional Riemannian manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature, and without boundary.*

(i) *Let Ω be an open subset of M. If $H_\Omega(T) < \infty$ for some $T > 0$ then*

$$\int_\Omega dx \frac{\mu_\Omega(x; t^{1/2})}{|B(x; t^{1/2})|} < \infty, \quad \forall t > 0. \tag{8}$$

(ii) *There exist $K_1 > 0$ and $K_2 < \infty$ such that if Ω satisfies* (8) *then*

$$K_1 \int_\Omega dx \frac{\mu_\Omega(x; t^{1/2})}{|B(x; t^{1/2})|} \le H_\Omega(t) \le K_2 \int_\Omega dx \frac{\mu_\Omega(x; t^{1/2})}{|B(x; t^{1/2})|}, \quad \forall t > 0. \tag{9}$$

The heuristic interpretation of (8) and (9) is the following. Consider a partition of M in open "boxes", $B_1, B_2, \ldots$, centred around points $x_1, x_2, \ldots$ of diameter $t^{1/2}$ each. The amount of heat in box B_i at $t = 0$ equals $|B_i \cap \Omega|$. The heat redistributes to a profile such that at time t the maximum and minimum temperatures in B_i are comparable. The average temperature u_Ω in B_i is then of order $\frac{|B_i \cap \Omega|}{|B_i|}$. Integrating u_Ω over Ω then gives that H_Ω is of order (8). Here, we have used that $|B_i| \asymp |B(x_i; t^{1/2})|$. The integrand in (8) is the fraction of space of the ball centred at x with radius $t^{1/2}$ that is occupied by Ω.

If Ω has finite Lebesgue measure, then it is natural to define the *heat loss of* Ω *in* M *at* t by

$$F_\Omega(t) = |\Omega| - H_\Omega(t). \tag{10}$$

By Lemma 5 and Corollary 6 below, we have that $t \mapsto H_\Omega(t)$ is decreasing and convex respectively. If $|\Omega| < \infty$, then the heat loss $t \mapsto F_\Omega(t)$ of Ω in M is increasing from 0 to $|\Omega|$ and concave. If Ω is bounded and $\partial\Omega$ is smooth, then, by (7), there exists an asymptotic series of which the first few coefficients are known explicitly. Theorem 4 below deals with the case where $|\Omega| < \infty$ but where either the perimeter of Ω is infinite and/or $\partial\Omega$ is not smooth.

Definition 3. *For $x \in M$, $\Omega \subset M$, and $R > 0$,*

$$\nu_\Omega(x; R) = |B(x; R) - \Omega|.$$

Theorem 4. *Let M be a complete, non-compact, m-dimensional Riemannian manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature, and without boundary. There exist constants $L_1 > 0$ and $L_2 < \infty$ such that if Ω is open in M with $|\Omega| < \infty$, then*

$$L_1 \int_\Omega dx\, \frac{\nu_\Omega(x; t^{1/2})}{|B(x; t^{1/2})|} \le F_\Omega(t) \le L_2 \int_\Omega dx\, \frac{\nu_\Omega(x; t^{1/2})}{|B(x; t^{1/2})|}. \tag{11}$$

We give explicit numerical values for K_1, K_2 and L_1, L_2 in the proofs of Theorems 2 and 4 respectively, in terms of of the numerical constants that appear in the Li-Yau bounds for the heat kernel. These bounds, Corollary 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 in [9], are crucial ingredients in the proofs in Section 2 below. In Section 3 we give some examples of Ω in $\mathbb{R}^m$ where a precise analysis of $H_\Omega(t)$ is possible.

2 Proofs

There are several key ingredients of the proofs of Theorems 2 and 4 which we recall below. The Bishop-Gromov Theorem, [5], states that if M is a complete, non-compact, m-dimensional, Riemannian manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature, then, for $p \in M$, the map $r \mapsto \frac{|B(p;r)|}{r^m}$ is monotone decreasing. In particular

$$\frac{|B(p; r_2)|}{|B(p; r_1)|} \le \left(\frac{r_2}{r_1}\right)^m, \ 0 < r_1 \le r_2. \tag{12}$$

The results of Li-Yau, Corollary 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 in [9], imply that if M is complete with non-negative Ricci curvature, then for any $D_2 > 2$ and $0 < D_1 < 2$ there exist constants $0 < C_1 \le C_2 < \infty$ such that for all $x \in M,\ y \in M,\ t > 0$,

$$C_1 \frac{e^{-d(x,y)^2/(2D_1 t)}}{(|B(x; t^{1/2})||B(y; t^{1/2})|)^{1/2}} \le p_M(x, y; t) \le C_2 \frac{e^{-d(x,y)^2/(2D_2 t)}}{(|B(x; t^{1/2})||B(y; t^{1/2})|)^{1/2}}. \tag{13}$$

Finally, since by (12) the measure of any geodesic ball with radius r is bounded polynomially in r, the theorems of Grigor'yan in [7] imply stochastic completeness. That is, for all $x \in M$ and $t > 0$,

$$\int_M dy\, p_M(x, y; t) = 1.$$

We also recall the following contractivity property of the heat semigroup.

Lemma 5. *Suppose M is complete and with non-negative Ricci curvature. If $\Omega \subset M$ is open and $H_\Omega(t) < \infty$ for some fixed $t > 0$ then*

$$H_\Omega(t+s) \le H_\Omega(t), \;\; s \ge 0.$$

Proof. By (2), (3), and Tonelli's Theorem, we have that

$$u_\Omega(x; t+s) = \int_M dy\, p_M(x, y; s) u_\Omega(y; t).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M dx\, u_\Omega(x; t+s)^2 \\
&\qquad = \int_M dx \int_M dy_1\, p_M(x, y_1; s) u_\Omega(y_1; t) \int_M dy_2\, p_M(x, y_2; s) u_\Omega(y_2; t) \\
&\qquad = \int_M dy_1 \int_M dy_2\, p_M(y_1, y_2; 2s) u_\Omega(y_1; t) u_\Omega(y_2; t) \\
&\qquad \le \frac{1}{2} \int_M dy_1 \int_M dy_2\, p_M(y_1, y_2; 2s) (u_\Omega(y_1; t)^2 + u_\Omega(y_2; t)^2) \\
&\qquad = \int_M dy_1 \int_M dy_2\, p_M(y_1, y_2; 2s) u_\Omega(y_1; t)^2 \\
&\qquad = \int_M dy\, u_\Omega(y; t)^2, \qquad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the stochastic completeness of M in the last equality. By (5) and (2) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
H_\Omega(2t) &= \int_M dz \int_\Omega dy \int_\Omega dx\, p_M(x, z; t) p_M(z, y; t) \\
&= \int_M dz\, u_\Omega(z; t)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that the left-hand and right-hand sides of (14) equal $H_\Omega(2t + 2s)$ and $H_\Omega(2t)$ respectively. This proves the assertion. □

We have a similar estimate in the proof of the following.

Corollary 6. *Suppose M is complete, $\Omega \subset M$ is open and $H_\Omega(s) < \infty$ for some $s > 0$. Then $t \mapsto H_\Omega(t)$ is convex on $(0, \infty)$.*

Proof. Since $H_\Omega(s) < \infty$ for some $s > 0$, we have by Theorem 2 that $H_\Omega(s) < \infty$ for all $s > 0$. Hence it suffices to show that $t \mapsto H_\Omega(t)$ is mid-point convex. This follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
H_\Omega(t+s) &= \int_M dz \int_\Omega dy \int_\Omega dx\, p_M(x, z; t) p_M(z, y; s) \\
&= \int_M dz\, u_\Omega(z; t) u_\Omega(z; s) \\
&\le \frac{1}{2} \int_M dz\, (u_\Omega(z; t)^2 + u_\Omega(z; s)^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(H_\Omega(2t) + H_\Omega(2s) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2. To prove part (i) of Theorem 2, we let $t \geq T > 0$ and suppose that $H_\Omega(T) < \infty$. Let $R > 0$. By Lemma 5, (5) and (13), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} H_\Omega(T) &\geq H_\Omega(t) \\ &\geq \int_\Omega dx \int_{\Omega \cap B(x;R)} dy\, p_M(x,y;t) \\ &\geq C_1 e^{-R^2/(2D_1 t)} \int_\Omega dx \int_{\Omega \cap B(x;R)} dy\, (|B(x;t^{1/2})||B(y;t^{1/2})|)^{-1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

For $d(x,y) < R$, $B(y;t^{1/2}) \subset B(x;R+t^{1/2})$, so that by (12),

$$|B(y;t^{1/2})| \leq \left(\frac{R+t^{1/2}}{t^{1/2}}\right)^m |B(x;t^{1/2})|. \tag{16}$$

The choice $R = t^{1/2}$ implies, by (15) and (16), that

$$H_\Omega(T) \geq H_\Omega(t) \geq K_1 \int_\Omega dx \frac{\mu_\Omega(x;t^{1/2})}{|B(x;t^{1/2})|},\ t \geq T, \tag{17}$$

with

$$K_1 = C_1 2^{-m/2} e^{-1/(2D_1)}.$$

Hence the integral in (8) is finite for all $t \geq T$.

Next suppose that $0 < t \leq T$. By (12) and (17), we have that for $0 < t \leq T$,

$$\int_\Omega dx \frac{\mu_\Omega(x;t^{1/2})}{|B(x;t^{1/2})|} \leq \left(\frac{T}{t}\right)^{m/2} \int_\Omega dx \frac{\mu_\Omega(x;T^{1/2})}{|B(x;T^{1/2})|} \leq \frac{1}{K_1}\left(\frac{T}{t}\right)^{m/2} H_\Omega(T).$$

This completes the proof of the assertion in part (i).

To prove part (ii) of Theorem 2 we let $n \geq 1$, $p \in \Omega$, and $\Omega_n = \Omega \cap B(p;n)$, and suppose that (8) holds. Then $|\Omega_n| \leq |B(p;n)| \leq n^m |B(p;1)| < \infty$. Let $R > 0$. Reversing the roles of x and y in (16) we have that for $d(x,y) < R$,

$$|B(y;t^{1/2})| \geq \left(\frac{t^{1/2}}{R+t^{1/2}}\right)^m |B(x;t^{1/2})|. \tag{18}$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega_n} dx \int_{\Omega_n} dy\, p_M(x,y;t) \\ &\quad = \int_{\Omega_n} dx \int_{\Omega_n \cap B(x;R)} dy\, p_M(x,y;t) + \int_{\Omega_n} dx \int_{\Omega_n - B(x;R)} dy\, p_M(x,y;t). \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Using (13) and (18), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega_n} dx \int_{\Omega_n \cap B(x;R)} dy\, p_M(x,y;t) \\ &\quad \leq C_2 \int_{\Omega_n} dx |B(x;t^{1/2})|^{-1} \int_{\Omega_n \cap B(x;R)} dy \left(\frac{|B(x;t^{1/2})|}{|B(y;t^{1/2})|}\right)^{1/2} e^{-d(x,y)^2/(2D_2 t)} \\ &\quad \leq C_2 \left(\frac{R+t^{1/2}}{t^{1/2}}\right)^{m/2} \int_{\Omega_n} dx \frac{\mu_{\Omega_n}(x;R)}{|B(x;t^{1/2})|} \\ &\quad \leq C_2 \left(\frac{R+t^{1/2}}{t^{1/2}}\right)^{m/2} \int_\Omega dx \frac{\mu_\Omega(x;R)}{|B(x;t^{1/2})|}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

To bound the second term in the right-hand side of (19), we let $0 < \alpha < 1$, and note that

$$d(x,y)^2/(2D_2t) \geq \alpha R^2/(2D_2t)+(1-\alpha)d(x,y)^2/(2D_2t)\,, y \in \Omega_n - B(x;R). \quad (21)$$

Hence, this second term is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& C_2 e^{-\alpha R^2/(2D_2t)} \int_{\Omega_n} dx \int_{\Omega_n} dy\, (|B(x;t^{1/2})||B(y;t^{1/2})|)^{-1/2} e^{-(1-\alpha)d(x,y)^2/(2D_2t)} \\
& \leq C_2 e^{-\alpha R^2/(2D_2t)} \int_{\Omega_n} dx \int_{\Omega_n} dy \left(\frac{D_2}{(1-\alpha)D_1}\right)^{m/2} |B(x;\left(\frac{D_2t}{(1-\alpha)D_1}\right)^{1/2})|^{-1/2} \\
& \times |B(y;\left(\frac{D_2t}{(1-\alpha)D_1}\right)^{1/2})|^{-1/2} e^{-(1-\alpha)d(x,y)^2/(2D_2t)} \\
& \leq \frac{C_2}{C_1}\left(\frac{D_2}{(1-\alpha)D_1}\right)^{m/2} e^{-\alpha R^2/(2D_2t)} H_{\Omega_n}\left(\frac{D_2t}{(1-\alpha)D_1}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C_2}{C_1}\left(\frac{D_2}{(1-\alpha)D_1}\right)^{m/2} e^{-\alpha R^2/(2D_2t)} H_{\Omega_n}(t), \qquad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (21), (12), the lower bound in (13), and the monotonicity of $t \mapsto H_\Omega(t)$. We now let $R^2 = \beta m D_2 t,\ \beta > 1$ and choose $\alpha = 1 - \frac{mD_2t}{R^2} \in (0,1)$. This choice of α minimises the right-hand side of (22), and gives the bound

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_n} dx \int_{\Omega_n - B(x;R)} dy\, p_M(x,y;t) &\leq \frac{C_2}{C_1}\left(\frac{\beta e^{1-\beta} D_2}{D_1}\right)^{m/2} H_{\Omega_n}(t) \\
&\leq \frac{C_2}{C_1}\left(\frac{2e^{-\beta/2} D_2}{D_1}\right)^{m/2} H_{\Omega_n}(t). \qquad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

We choose β such that the coefficient of $H_{\Omega_n}(t)$ in the right-hand side of (23) is equal to $\frac{1}{2}$. That is

$$\beta = \frac{4}{m}\log\left(\frac{2C_2}{C_1}\left(\frac{2D_2}{D_1}\right)^{m/2}\right). \qquad (24)$$

Since $C_2 \geq C_1$ and $D_2 \geq 2 \geq D_1$ we have that the right-hand side of (24) is bounded from below by 1. By (19)-(24), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\Omega_n}(t) &\leq 2C_2((\beta m D_2)^{1/2}+1)^{m/2} \int_\Omega dx \frac{\mu_\Omega(x;(\beta m D_2 t)^{1/2})}{|B(x;t^{1/2})|} \\
&\leq 2^{(2+m)/2} C_2 (\beta m D_2)^{3m/4} \int_\Omega dx \frac{\mu_\Omega(x;(\beta m D_2 t)^{1/2})}{|B(x;(\beta m D_2 t)^{1/2})|}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we replace t by $t/(\beta m D_2)$, and use the monotonicity of $t \mapsto H_\Omega(t)$, then we obtain

$$H_{\Omega_n}(t) \leq H_{\Omega_n}(t/(m\beta D_2)) \leq K_2 \int_\Omega dx \frac{\mu_\Omega(x;t^{1/2})}{|B(x;t^{1/2})|},$$

where

$$K_2 = 2^{1+2m} C_2 \left(D_2 \log\left(\frac{2C_2}{C_1}\left(\frac{2D_2}{D_1}\right)^{m/2}\right)\right)^{3m/4}.$$

Finally letting $n \to \infty$ leads to the upper bound in (9) of Theorem 2. We now infer that the lower bound in (17) holds for all $t > 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

The following will be needed in the proof of Theorem 4.

Lemma 7. *Let M be a complete, non-compact, m-dimensional Riemannian manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature. If $\Omega \subset M$, $|\Omega| < \infty$, then for all $s > 0$ and all $t > 0$,*

$$F_\Omega(s+t) \le F_\Omega(s) + F_\Omega(t).$$

Proof. By the definition of $F_\Omega(t)$ in (10), and by stochastic completeness,

$$\begin{aligned} F_\Omega(t) &= \int_M dx \int_\Omega dy\ p_M(x,y;t) - \int_\Omega dx \int_\Omega dy\ p_M(x,y;t) \\ &= \int_{M-\Omega} dx \int_\Omega dy\ p_M(x,y;t). \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

By the heat semigroup property (2), (25) and Tonelli's Theorem, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} F_\Omega(s+t) &= \int_{M-\Omega} dx \int_\Omega dy\ p_M(x,y;s+t) \\ &= \int_M dz \int_{M-\Omega} dx \int_\Omega dy\ p_M(x,z;s) p_M(z,y;t) \\ &= \int_\Omega dz \int_{M-\Omega} dx \int_\Omega dy\ p_M(x,z;s) p_M(z,y;t) \\ &\quad + \int_{M-\Omega} dz \int_{M-\Omega} dx \int_\Omega dy\ p_M(x,z;s) p_M(z,y;t) \\ &\le \int_\Omega dz \int_{M-\Omega} dx\ p_M(x,z;s) \int_M dy\ p_M(z,y;t) \\ &\quad + \int_{M-\Omega} dz \int_\Omega dy\ p_M(z,y;t) \int_M dx\ p_M(x,z;s) \\ &= \int_\Omega dz \int_{M-\Omega} dx\ p_M(x,z;s) + \int_{M-\Omega} dz \int_\Omega dy\ p_M(z,y;t) \\ &= F_\Omega(s) + F_\Omega(t). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 4. To prove the lower bound in (11), we have by (25), (13) that for $R > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_\Omega(t) &\ge \int_\Omega dx \int_{B(x;R)-\Omega} dy\, p_M(x,y;t) \\ &\ge C_1 e^{-R^2/(2D_1 t)} \int_\Omega dx \int_{B(x;R)-\Omega} dy\, |B(x;t^{1/2})|^{-1} \frac{|B(x;t^{1/2})|^{1/2}}{|B(y;t^{1/2})|^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $B(y;t^{1/2}) \subset B(x;R+t^{1/2})$, for $y \in B(x;R)$, we have by (16) that

$$F_\Omega(t) \ge C_1 \left(\frac{t^{1/2}}{R+t^{1/2}} \right)^{m/2} e^{-R^2/(2D_1 t)} \int_\Omega dx\, \frac{\nu_\Omega(x;R)}{|B(x;t^{1/2})|}.$$

The choice $R = t^{1/2}$ gives the lower bound in (11) with

$$L_1 = 2^{-m/2} e^{-1/(2D_1)} C_1.$$

To prove the upper bound in (11), we let $R > 0$, and write (25) as

$$\begin{aligned} F_\Omega(t) = &\int_\Omega dx \int_{(M-\Omega)\cap B(x;R)} dy\, p_M(x,y;t) \\ &+ \int_\Omega dx \int_{(M-\Omega)\cap(M-B(x;R))} dy\, p_M(x,y;t). \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

By (13) and (18),

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\Omega dx \int_{(M-\Omega)\cap B(x;R)} dy\, p_M(x,y;t) \\ &\quad\le C_2 \int_\Omega dx \int_{(M-\Omega)\cap B(x;R)} dy\, |B(x;t^{1/2})|^{-1} \frac{|B(x;t^{1/2})|^{1/2}}{|B(y;t^{1/2})|^{1/2}} \\ &\quad\le C_2 \left(\frac{R+t^{1/2}}{t^{1/2}}\right)^{m/2} \int_\Omega dx \frac{\nu_\Omega(x;R)}{|B(x;t^{1/2})|}. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\Omega dx \int_{(M-\Omega)\cap(M-B(x;R))} dy\, p_M(x,y;t) \\ &\le C_2 e^{-R^2/(4D_2 t)} \int_\Omega dx \int_{M-\Omega} dy \frac{e^{-d(x,y)^2/(4D_2 t)}}{(|B(x;t^{1/2})||B(y;t^{1/2})|)^{1/2}} \\ &\le C_2 e^{-R^2/(4D_2 t)} \left(\frac{2D_2}{D_1}\right)^{m/2} \int_\Omega dx \int_{M-\Omega} dy\, e^{-d(x,y)^2/(4D_2 t)} \\ &\quad \times (|B(x;(2D_2 t/D_1)^{1/2})||B(y;(2D_2 t/D_1)^{1/2})|)^{-1/2} \\ &\le e^{-R^2/(4D_2 t)} \frac{C_2}{C_1} \left(\frac{2D_2}{D_1}\right)^{m/2} \int_\Omega dx \int_{M-\Omega} dy\, p_M(x,y;2D_2 t/D_1) \\ &\le e^{-R^2/(4D_2 t)} \frac{C_2}{C_1} \left(\frac{2D_2}{D_1}\right)^{m/2} F_\Omega(2D_2 t/D_1). \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\frac{2D_2}{D_1} \in [n, n+1)$. Then $n \ge 2$ and $n+1 \le 3n/2 \le 3D_2/D_1$, so that $F_\Omega(2D_2 t/D_1) \le F_\Omega((n+1)t) \le (n+1)F_\Omega(t) \le 3D_2 F_\Omega(t)/D_1$ by Lemma 7. Using this, we see that the right-hand side of (28) is bounded by $3e^{-R^2/(4D_2 t)} \frac{C_2 D_2}{C_1 D_1} \left(\frac{2D_2}{D_1}\right)^{m/2} F_\Omega(t)$. We choose R such that the coefficient of $F_\Omega(t)$ is equal to $\frac{1}{2}$. That is $R = \alpha t^{1/2}$, where

$$\alpha = \left(4D_2 \log\left(\frac{6C_2 D_2}{C_1 D_1}\left(\frac{2D_2}{D_1}\right)^{m/2}\right)\right)^{1/2}.$$

Rearranging terms in (26) via (27) and (28) with the above choices of R and α

gives that

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_\Omega(t) &\le 2(\alpha+1)^{m/2}C_2\int_\Omega dx\,\frac{\nu_\Omega(x;\alpha t^{1/2})}{|B(x;t^{1/2})|}\\
&\le 2(\alpha+1)^{m/2}\alpha^m C_2\int_\Omega dx\,\frac{\nu_\Omega(x;\alpha t^{1/2})}{|B(x;\alpha t^{1/2})|}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{29}
$$

It follows that

$$F_\Omega(t/\alpha^2)\le 2(\alpha+1)^{m/2}\alpha^m C_2\int_\Omega dx\,\frac{\nu_\Omega(x;t^{1/2})}{|B(x;t^{1/2})|}.$$

Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$ be such that $\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\in[\frac{1}{n+1},\frac{1}{n})$. Since $\alpha>2$, $n\ge 4$. Hence by Lemma 7, $F_\Omega(t/\alpha^2)\ge F_\Omega(t/(n+1))\ge\frac{1}{n+1}F_\Omega(t)\ge\frac{4}{5n}F_\Omega(t)\ge\frac{4}{5\alpha^2}F_\Omega(t)$. By (29) we now conclude that

$$F_\Omega(t)\le\frac{5}{2}(\alpha+1)^{m/2}\alpha^{m+2}C_2\int_\Omega dx\,\frac{\nu_\Omega(x;t^{1/2})}{|B(x;t^{1/2})|}.$$

We infer that the upper bound in (11) holds with

$$L_2=5\cdot 2^{1+m}\cdot 3^{m/2}C_2\left(D_2\log\left(\frac{6C_2D_2}{C_1D_1}\left(\frac{2D_2}{D_1}\right)^{m/2}\right)\right)^{(4+3m)/4}.$$

3 Examples

In this section we give some examples of open sets Ω in $M=\mathbb{R}^m$, where a precise asymptotic analysis of the heat content for $t\downarrow 0$ is possible. Recall that in Euclidean space,

$$p(x,y;t)=(4\pi t)^{-m/2}e^{-|x-y|^2/(4t)}. \tag{30}$$

Our first example is the following. Let

$$\Omega=\cup_{i\in\mathbb{N}}B(z_i;r_i),$$

where $(z_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an enumeration of $\mathbb{Z}^m$, and where $r_1\ge r_2\ge\dots$. Furthermore, let

$$\delta=1-2r_1.$$

Theorem 8. (i) *If $\delta>0$, then $H_\Omega(t)<\infty$ for all $t>0$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty r_i^{2m}<\infty. \tag{31}$$

If $\delta>0$ and (31) holds, then

$$\left|H_\Omega(t)-\sum_{i=1}^\infty H_{B(z_i;r_i)}(t)\right|\le\omega_m^2e^{-\delta^2/(16t)}\left(\frac{2}{\delta}+\frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}}\right)^m\sum_{i=1}^\infty r_i^{2m}, \tag{32}$$

where $\omega_m=|B(0;1)|$.

(ii) *Let*

$$r_i = a i^{-\alpha},\ i \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{33}$$

and let $0 < a \le \frac{1}{4}$. *If* $\frac{1}{2m} < \alpha < \frac{1}{m}$ *then*

$$H_\Omega(t) = c_{\alpha,m} t^{(m\alpha-1)/(2\alpha)} + O(1),\ t \downarrow 0, \tag{34}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\alpha,m} = {} & 2^{m-1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \pi^{-m/2} \alpha^{-1} \Gamma((2m\alpha-1)/(2\alpha)) a^{1/\alpha} \\ & \cdot \int_{B(0;1)} dx \int_{B(0;1)} dy\, |x-y|^{(1-2m\alpha)/\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

If $\frac{1}{m} < \alpha < \frac{1}{m-1}$ *then*

$$F_\Omega(t) = d_{\alpha,m} t^{(m\alpha-1)/(2\alpha)} + O(t^{1/2}),\ t \downarrow 0, \tag{36}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\alpha,m} = {} & 2^{m-1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \pi^{-m/2} \alpha^{-1} \Gamma((2m\alpha-1)/(2\alpha)) a^{1/\alpha} \\ & \cdot \int_{B(0;1)} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^m - B(0;1)} dy\, |x-y|^{(1-2m\alpha)/\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

If $m > 2$ *and* $\frac{1}{m-1} < \alpha < \frac{1}{m-2}$ *or if* $m = 2$ *and* $\frac{1}{m-1} < \alpha$ *then*

$$F_\Omega(t) = \pi^{-1/2} \mathcal{P}(\Omega) t^{1/2} + O(t^{(m\alpha-1)/(2\alpha)}),\ t \downarrow 0. \tag{38}$$

If $m > 2$ *and* $\alpha = \frac{1}{m-2}$ *then*

$$F_\Omega(t) = \pi^{-1/2} \mathcal{P}(\Omega) t^{1/2} + O\left(t \log \frac{1}{t}\right),\ t \downarrow 0. \tag{39}$$

If $m > 2$ *and* $\frac{1}{m-2} < \alpha$ *then*

$$F_\Omega(t) = \pi^{-1/2} \mathcal{P}(\Omega) t^{1/2} + O(t),\ t \downarrow 0. \tag{40}$$

Proof. To prove the first assertion under (i) it suffices, by Theorem 2, to show that $H_\Omega(\delta^2/4) < \infty$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^\infty r_i^{2m} < \infty$. Suppose first that $H_\Omega(\delta^2/4) < \infty$. By Theorem 2,

$$\begin{aligned} H_\Omega(\delta^2/4) &\ge K_1 \int_\Omega dx \frac{\mu_\Omega(x;\delta/2)}{|B(x;\delta/2)|} \\ &= K_1 \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \int_{B(z_i;r_i)} dx \frac{\mu_\Omega(x;\delta/2)}{|B(x;\delta/2)|} \\ &\ge K_1 \omega_m^{-1} \left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right)^m \sum_{\{i:2r_i<\delta/2\}} \int_{B(z_i;r_i)} dx\ |B(x;\delta/2) \cap \Omega| \\ &= K_1 \omega_m \left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right)^m \sum_{\{i:2r_i<\delta/2\}} r_i^{2m}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that if $r_i < \delta/4$ and $x \in B(z_i; r_i)$ then $B(x; \delta/2) \cap \Omega = B(z_i; r_i)$.

Next suppose that $\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} r_i^{2m} < \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega dx \frac{\mu_\Omega(x;\delta/2)}{|B(x;\delta/2)|} &\le \sum_{\{i:r_i\ge\delta/4\}} \int_{B(z_i;r_i)} dx + \sum_{\{i:r_i<\delta/4\}} \int_{B(z_i;r_i)} dx\ \frac{\mu_\Omega(x;\delta/2)}{|B(x;\delta/2)|}\\ &\le \sum_{\{i:r_i\ge\delta/4\}} \int_{B(z_i;r_i)} dx (4r_i/\delta)^m + \omega_m\left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right)^m \sum_{\{i:r_i<\delta/4\}} r_i^{2m}\\ &\le \omega_m \left(\frac{4}{\delta}\right)^m \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} r_i^{2m},\end{aligned}$$

which implies the reverse implication.

To prove (32), we first note that

$$\begin{aligned}H_\Omega(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_{B(z_i;r_i)} dx \int_{B(z_j;r_j)} dy\, p_{\mathbb{R}^m}(x,y;t)\\ &\ge \sum_{i=1}^\infty \int_{B(z_i;r_i)} dx \int_{B(z_i;r_i)} dy\, p_{\mathbb{R}^m}(x,y;t)\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^\infty H_{B(z_i;r_i)}(t). \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

To prove the upper bound it suffices to bound the double sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{\{j\in\mathbb{N}:j\ne i\}} \int_{B(z_i;r_i)} dx \int_{B(z_j;r_j)} dy\, p_{\mathbb{R}^m}(x,y;t) \tag{42}$$

from above. We first observe that if $x \in B(z_i; r_i)$, $y \in B(z_j; r_j)$ and $|z_i - z_j| = 1$, then $|x - y| \ge \delta$. For any other pair of points $z_i, z_j \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ with $i \ne j$, we have that $|z_i - z_j| \ge \sqrt{2}$. For such a pair and $x \in B(z_i; r_i)$ and $y \in B(z_j; r_j)$, we have by the definition of δ that

$$\begin{aligned}|x-y| &\ge |z_i - z_j| - |z_i - x| - |y - z_j| \ge |z_i - z_j| + \delta - 1\\ &\ge \frac{|z_i - z_j| + \delta - 1}{|z_i - z_j|}|z_i - z_j| \ge \frac{\sqrt{2} + \delta - 1}{\sqrt{2}}|z_i - z_j|\\ &\ge \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{2}}|z_i - z_j|.\end{aligned}$$

So, combining the estimate for $|z_i - z_j| = 1$ with the one for $|z_i - z_j| \ge \sqrt{2}$, gives that

$$|x-y|^2 \ge \frac{\delta^2}{2}|z_i - z_j|^2,\ x \in B(z_i;r_i),\ y \in B(z_j;r_j),\ i \ne j.$$

Hence, using (30), we have that the expression under (42) is bounded from above by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\omega_m^2(4\pi t)^{-m/2}e^{-\delta^2/(16t)}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\{j\in\mathbb{N}:j\neq i\}} r_i^m r_j^m e^{-|z_i-z_j|^2\delta^2/(16t)}\\
&\le \frac{1}{2}\omega_m^2(4\pi t)^{-m/2}e^{-\delta^2/(16t)}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\{j\in\mathbb{N}:j\neq i\}} \left(r_i^{2m}+r_j^{2m}\right) e^{-|z_i-z_j|^2\delta^2/(16t)}\\
&= \omega_m^2(4\pi t)^{-m/2}e^{-\delta^2/(16t)}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_i^{2m}\sum_{\{j\in\mathbb{N}:j\neq i\}} e^{-|z_i-z_j|^2\delta^2/(16t)}\\
&\le \omega_m^2(4\pi t)^{-m/2}e^{-\delta^2/(16t)}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_i^{2m}\sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^m} e^{-|z|^2\delta^2/(16t)}\\
&\le \omega_m^2(4\pi t)^{-m/2}e^{-\delta^2/(16t)}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_i^{2m}\left(1+2\int_0^\infty dj\ e^{-j^2\delta^2/(16t)}\right)^m,
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives the bound in (32).

To prove part (ii) of Theorem 8, we first consider the case where Ω has infinite measure but finite heat content. That is $\frac{1}{2m} < \alpha < \frac{1}{m}$. By (32), it suffices to consider the sum in the left-hand side of (32). Since $r \mapsto H_{B(0;r)}(t)$ is increasing, $i \mapsto H_{B(0;ai^{-\alpha})}(t)$ is decreasing. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} H_{B(z_i;r_i)}(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} H_{B(0;ai^{-\alpha})}(t)\\
&\le \int_0^\infty di\ H_{B(0;ai^{-\alpha})}(t)\\
&= \int_0^\infty di(ai^{-\alpha})^{2m}\int_{B(0;1)}dx\int_{B(0;1)}dy\ p_{\mathbb{R}^m}(axi^{-\alpha},ayi^{-\alpha};t).
\end{aligned}
\tag{43}
$$

A straightforward application of Tonelli's Theorem gives the formulae under (34) and (35). To obtain a lower bound for the left-hand side of (43), we use the monotonicity of $i \mapsto H_{B(0;ai^{-\alpha})}(t)$ once more, and obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} H_{B(z_i;r_i)}(t) &\ge \int_1^\infty di\, H_{B(0;ai^{-\alpha})}(t)\\
&= c_{\alpha,m}t^{(m\alpha-1)/(2\alpha)} - \int_0^1 di\, H_{B(0;ai^{-\alpha})}(t).
\end{aligned}
\tag{44}
$$

The last term in the right-hand side of (44) is bounded in absolute value by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 di\, H_{B(0;ai^{-\alpha})}(t) &\le \int_0^1 di\int_{B(0;ai^{-\alpha})}dx\int_{\mathbb{R}^m}dy\, p_{\mathbb{R}^m}(x,y;t)\\
&= \omega_m\int_0^1 di\,(ai^{-\alpha})^m\\
&= \omega_m a^m(1-\alpha m)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{45}
$$

This completes the proof of the assertion under (33)-(35).

Next consider the case where Ω has finite measure but infinite perimeter. That is $\frac{1}{m} < \alpha < \frac{1}{m-1}$. We have, by (32) and scaling, that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\Omega}(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F_{B(0;ai^{-\alpha})}(t) + O(e^{-\delta^2/(32t)}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (ai^{-\alpha})^m F_{B(0;1)}(a^{-2}i^{2\alpha}t) + O(e^{-\delta^2/(32t)}). \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

In a similar way to the proof of (34),(35), we approximate the sum with respect to i by an integral. However, the heat loss $i \mapsto F_{B(0;1)}(a^{-2}i^{2\alpha}t)$ is increasing in i, whereas $i \mapsto (ai^{-\alpha})^m$ is decreasing. Below we consider a decreasing function $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+$ and an increasing function $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+$. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and fg summable, we have that

$$\int_i^{i+1} dx\, f(x)g(x) \geq f(i+1)g(i) = f(i)g(i) + (f(i+1) - f(i))g(i). \tag{47}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f(i)g(i) &\leq \int_1^{\infty} dx\, f(x)g(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (f(i+1) - f(i))g(i) \\ &\leq \int_0^{\infty} dx\, f(x)g(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (f(i+1) - f(i))g(i). \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Similarly

$$\int_i^{i+1} dx\, f(x)g(x) \leq f(i)g(i+1) = f(i+1)g(i+1) + (f(i) - f(i+1))g(i+1), \tag{49}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f(i+1)g(i+1) \geq \int_1^{\infty} dx\, f(x)g(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (f(i) - f(i+1))g(i+1). \tag{50}$$

So

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f(i)g(i) \geq \int_0^{\infty} dx\, f(x)g(x) - \int_0^{1} dx\, f(x)g(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (f(i) - f(i+1))g(i+1). \tag{51}$$

Let $f(x) = a^m x^{-m\alpha}$ and $g(x) = F_{B(0;1)}(a^{-2}x^{2\alpha}t)$. Using $f(x) - f(x+1) \leq a^m m\alpha x^{-m\alpha-1}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (f(i) - f(i+1))g(i+1) &\leq a^m m\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{-m\alpha-1} F_{B(0;1)}(a^{-2}(i+1)^{2\alpha}t) \\ &\leq a^m m\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{-m\alpha-1} F_{B(0;1)}(a^{-2}(2i)^{2\alpha}t) \\ &\leq a^m m^2 \omega_m \alpha \pi^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{-m\alpha-1} (a^{-2}(2i)^{2\alpha}t)^{1/2} \\ &= O(t^{1/2}), \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

where we have used that (Proposition 8 in [12])

$$F_{B(0;1)}(t) \le m\omega_m \pi^{-1/2} t^{1/2}. \tag{53}$$

Furthermore, by (53), we have that

$$\int_0^1 dx\, f(x)g(x) \le a^{m-1} m\omega_m \pi^{-1/2} t^{1/2} \int_0^1 dx\ x^{-m\alpha+\alpha} = O(t^{1/2}).$$

By (46)-(53) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} F_\Omega(t) &= \int_0^\infty dx\, a^m x^{-m\alpha} F_{B(0;1)}(a^{-2}x^{2\alpha}t) + O(t^{1/2}) \\ &= d_{\alpha,m} t^{(m\alpha-1)/(2\alpha)} + O(t^{1/2}), \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

where $d_{\alpha,m}$ is given by (37), and where the integral with respect to x in (54) has been evaluated with the change of variable $a^{-2}tx^{2\alpha} = \theta$. This completes the proof of (36).

Finally, we consider the cases were Ω had both finite measure and finite perimeter. Suppose $m > 2$ and $\frac{1}{m-1} < \alpha < \frac{1}{m-2}$ or $m = 2$ and $\frac{1}{m-1} < \alpha$. Let $I \in \mathbb{N}$, and apply Theorem 2 from [3] to a ball of radius r:

$$|H_{B(0;r)} - |B(0;r)| + \pi^{-1/2}\mathcal{P}(B(0;r))t^{1/2}| \le c_m r^{m-2} t,\ t > 0, \tag{55}$$

where $c_m = m^3\omega_m 2^{m+2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} H_\Omega(t) &\ge \sum_{i=1}^{I} H_{B(0;ai^{-\alpha})}(t) \\ &\ge |\Omega| - \pi^{-1/2}\mathcal{P}(\Omega)t^{1/2} - \sum_{i=I+1}^{\infty} \omega_m a^m i^{-\alpha m} - c_m \sum_{i=1}^{I} (ai^{-\alpha})^{m-2} t. \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

The third term in the right-hand side of (56) is bounded by $I^{1-\alpha m}$ up to a multiplicative constant. The fourth term is bounded up to a multiplicative constant by $I^{1-\alpha(m-2)}t$. Minimising the sum $I^{1-\alpha m} + I^{1-\alpha(m-2)}t$, gives that $I = \lfloor t^{-1/(2\alpha)} \rfloor$ up to a constant. This gives a remainder $O(t^{(m\alpha-1)/(2\alpha)})$ for the lower bound.

To obtain an upper bound, we let $J \in \mathbb{N}$, and note that by (32),

$$\begin{aligned}
H_\Omega(t) &\le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} H_{B(0;ai^{-\alpha})}(t) + O(e^{-\delta^2/(32t)}) \\
&\le \sum_{i=1}^{J} H_{B(0;ai^{-\alpha})}(t) + \sum_{i=J+1}^{\infty} |B(0;ai^{-\alpha})| + O(e^{-\delta^2/(32t)}) \\
&\le \sum_{i=1}^{J} \Big(|B(0;ai^{-\alpha})| - \pi^{-1/2}\mathcal{P}(B(0;ai^{-\alpha}))t^{1/2} + c_m (ai^{-\alpha})^{m-2} t \Big) \\
&\qquad + \sum_{i=J+1}^{\infty} |B(0;ai^{-\alpha})| + O(e^{-\delta^2/(32t)}) \\
&\le |\Omega| - \pi^{-1/2}\mathcal{P}(\Omega)t^{1/2} + \pi^{-1/2} m\omega_m \sum_{i=J+1}^{\infty} (ai^{-\alpha})^{m-1} t^{1/2} \\
&\qquad + c_m \sum_{i=1}^{J} (ai^{-\alpha})^{m-2} t + O(e^{-\delta^2/(32t)}). \qquad (57)
\end{aligned}$$

The third term in the right-hand side of (57) is bounded up to a multiplicative constant by $J^{1-\alpha(m-1)}t^{1/2}$. The fourth term in the right-hand side of (57) is bounded up to a multiplicative constant by $J^{1-\alpha(m-2)}t$. Minimising $J^{1-\alpha(m-1)}t^{1/2} + J^{1-\alpha(m-2)}t$ gives that for $t \downarrow 0$, $J = \lfloor t^{-1/(2\alpha)} \rfloor$ up to a constant. This gives a remainder $O(t^{(m\alpha-1)/(2\alpha)})$ for the upper bound, and completes the proof of (38).

Next consider the case $\alpha = \frac{1}{m-2}$. Then the sum of the third and fourth terms in the right-hand side of (56) equals, up to constants, $I^{-2/(m-2)} + t\log I$. We now choose $I = \lfloor t^{-(m-2)/2} \rfloor$, and obtain the remainder in (39). Similarly, the sum of the third and fourth terms in the right-hand side of (57) is of order $J^{-1/(m-2)}t^{1/2} + t\log J$. We now choose $J = \lfloor t^{-(m-2)/2} \rfloor$ to obtain the same remainder.

Finally, consider the case $\alpha > (m-2)^{-1}$. Then the uniform remainder in the right-hand side of (55) is summable. Hence by (32),

$$|H_\Omega(t) - |\Omega| + \pi^{-1/2}\mathcal{P}(\Omega)t^{1/2}| \le c_m \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} (ai^{-\alpha})^{m-2} t + O(e^{-\delta^2/(32t)}),\ t > 0,$$

and we obtain the remainder in (40). This concludes the proof of Theorem 8(ii). □

In our second example we take the same collection of radii as in (33) but align the balls such that the centres are on the positive x_1 axis and such that the balls are pairwise disjoint, decreasing in size and touching. That is

$$\Lambda = \cup_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} B(v_i; r_i).$$

where $v_1 = (0, \cdots, 0)$, and

$$v_i = a(1^{-\alpha} + 2 \sum_{2\le j\le i-1} j^{-\alpha} + i^{-\alpha}, 0, \cdots, 0),\ i \ge 2.$$

Theorem 9. (i) *$H_\Lambda(t) < \infty$ for all $t > 0$ if and only if $\alpha > \frac{1}{2m-1}$.*

(ii) *If $\frac{1}{2m-1} < \alpha < \frac{1}{m}$, then*

$$H_\Lambda(t) \asymp t^{(m\alpha-1)/(2\alpha)},\ t \downarrow 0.$$

We note that the range of α's for which $H_\Lambda(t)$ is finite is different from those α's for which $H_\Omega(t)$ is finite. Realigning the balls as in Theorem 9 reduces the heat flow out of Λ. However, the leading order behaviour as $t \downarrow 0$ is the same for the common values of α.

Proof. Λ has infinite measure if and only if $\alpha \le \frac{1}{m}$, and so it suffices to consider this case. Let

$$t \le \frac{a^2}{64},\ i \ge \left(\frac{8a}{t^{1/2}}\right)^{1/\alpha}. \tag{58}$$

We note that (58) implies that $i \ge 2^{12}$. We let $x \in B_i := B(v_i; ai^{-\alpha})$. We wish to find a lower bound for $\mu_\Lambda(x; t^{1/2})$, and consider the collection of balls $B_j,\ j = i, i+1, \cdots, I(i)$ that are contained in $B(x; t^{1/2})$. By (58), there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$2a\sum_{j=i}^{I(i)} j^{-\alpha} \le t^{1/2} < 2a\sum_{j=i}^{I(i)+1} j^{-\alpha}. \tag{59}$$

Then

$$t^{1/2} < 2a(I(i) + 2 - i)i^{-\alpha}.$$

So the number of balls B_j in $B(x; t^{1/2})$ with $j \ge i$ is bounded from below by $\frac{t^{1/2}}{2a}i^\alpha - 1 \ge \frac{t^{1/2}i^\alpha}{4a}$. The smallest ball in this collection has measure $\omega_m(aI(i)^{-\alpha})^m$. We conclude that

$$\mu_\Lambda(x; t^{1/2}) \ge \omega_m \frac{t^{1/2}}{4a} i^\alpha (aI(i)^{-\alpha})^m,\ x \in B_i. \tag{60}$$

To obtain an upper bound for $I(i)$, we bound the left-hand side of (59) from below by $2a\int_i^{I(i)} dx\, x^{-\alpha}$. This gives that

$$I(i) \le \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2a}t^{1/2} + i^{1-\alpha}\right)^{1/(1-\alpha)}. \tag{61}$$

By (60) and (61), we find that

$$\int_{B_i} dx\, \mu_\Lambda(x; t^{1/2}) \ge \omega_m^2 a^m (ai^{-\alpha})^m \frac{t^{1/2}}{4a} i^\alpha \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2a}t^{1/2} + i^{1-\alpha}\right)^{-m\alpha/(1-\alpha)}. \tag{62}$$

The right-hand side of (62) is not summable if $\alpha \le (2m-1)^{-1}$.

Next we show that $H_\Lambda(t) < \infty$ if $\alpha > (2m-1)^{-1}$. We consider all balls B_j that intersect $B(x; t^{1/2}),\ x \in B_i$, and let $B_{J(i)}$ be the largest of these balls. Then

$$2a\sum_{j=J(i)+1}^{i-1} j^{-\alpha} < t^{1/2} \le 2a\sum_{j=J(i)}^{i-1} j^{-\alpha}. \tag{63}$$

We have that $\Lambda \cap B(x;t^{1/2})$ is contained in a cylinder of height $2t^{1/2}$ and base an $(m-1)$-dimensional ball with radius $aJ(i)^{-\alpha}$. By monotonicity, we have that

$$\mu_\Lambda(x;t^{1/2}) \le 2\omega_{m-1}(aJ(i)^{-\alpha})^{m-1}t^{1/2}, \ x \in B_i.$$

Hence

$$\int_{B_i} dx\, \mu_\Lambda(x;t^{1/2}) \le 2\omega_{m-1}\omega_m(ai^{-\alpha})^m(aJ(i)^{-\alpha})^{m-1}t^{1/2}. \tag{64}$$

By the first inequality in (63), we obtain that

$$2a(i-2-J(i))(i-1)^{-\alpha} < t^{1/2}.$$

So, by (58),

$$J(i) \ge i-2-\frac{(i-1)^\alpha t^{1/2}}{2a} \ge i-2-\frac{i^{1/2}t^{1/2}}{2a} \ge i-2-\frac{i^{1/2}}{16} \ge \frac{i}{2}, \tag{65}$$

since $i \ge 2^{12}$. By (64) and (65), we have that

$$\int_{B_i} dx\, \mu_\Lambda(x;t^{1/2}) \le 2^{1+(m-1)\alpha}\omega_{m-1}\omega_m(ai^{-\alpha})^m(ai^{-\alpha})^{m-1}t^{1/2}. \tag{66}$$

The right-hand side of (66) is summable for $\alpha > (2m-1)^{-1}$. This concludes the proof of part (i).

To prove part (ii), we note by (41) that for any disjoint collection of balls with radii $r_1 \ge r_2 \ge \cdots$,

$$H_{\cup_{i\in\mathbb{N}}B(z_i;r_i)}(t) \ge \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} H_{B(z_i;r_i)}(t) = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} r_i^m H_{B(0;1)}(t/r_i^2).$$

It follows that

$$H_\Lambda(t) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(ai^{-\alpha})^m H_{B(0;1)}(ti^{2\alpha}/a^2).$$

Since $\frac{1}{2m-1} < \alpha < \frac{1}{m}$, we just follow the lines of (44)-(45) to conclude that

$$\liminf_{t\downarrow 0} t^{(1-m\alpha)/(2\alpha)}H_\Lambda(t) \ge c_{\alpha,m}.$$

To prove the upper bound we use Theorem 2. For $x \in \cup_{\{i:i^\alpha<\frac{8a}{t^{1/2}}\}}B(v_i;ai^{-\alpha})$, we bound the integrand in the right-hand side of (9) from above by 1. So these balls give a contribution

$$K_2 \sum_{\{i:i^\alpha t^{1/2}<8a\}} |B(v_i;ai^{-\alpha})| \le K_2\omega_m(1-\alpha m)^{-1}a^m\left(\frac{8a}{t^{1/2}}\right)^{(1-\alpha m)/\alpha}. \tag{67}$$

The contribution from the remaining balls in Λ that satisfy (58) can be estimated via (66). These give, for all t satisfying (58), a contribution that is bounded from above by

$$K_2 2^{1+(m-1)\alpha}\omega_{m-1}\omega_m \sum_{\{i:i^\alpha t^{1/2}\ge 8a\}} (ai^{-\alpha})^m(ai^{-\alpha})^{m-1}t^{(1-m)/2},$$

that is non-negative and $O(t^{(m\alpha-1)/(2\alpha)})$. This, together with (67), completes the proof of Theorem 9(ii). □

References

[1] M. van den Berg, *Heat flow and perimeter in* $\mathbb{R}^m$, Potential Anal. 39 (2013) 369–387.

[2] M. van den Berg, P. Gilkey, *Heat flow out of a compact manifold*, J. Geom. Anal. 25 (2015) 1576–1601.

[3] M. van den Berg, K. Gittins, *Uniform bounds for the heat content of open sets in Euclidean space*, Diff. Geom. Appl. 40 (2015) 67–85.

[4] M. van den Berg, K. Gittins, *On the heat content of a polygon*, J. Geom. Anal. 26 (2016) 2231–2264.

[5] R. L. Bishop, R. J. Crittenden, *Geometry of manifolds*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI (2001).

[6] E. B. Davies, *Heat kernels and spectral theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1989).

[7] A. Grigor'yan, *Analytic and geometric backgroud of recurrence and non-explosion of the Brownian motion on Riemannian manifolds*, Bulletin (New Series) of the American Mathematical Society 36 (1999) 135–249.

[8] A. Grigor'yan, *Heat kernel and Analysis on manifolds*, AMS-IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **47**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; International Press, Boston, MA (2009).

[9] P. Li, S. T. Yau, *On the parabolic kernel of the Schrödinger operator*, Acta Math. 156 (1986) 153–201.

[10] M. Miranda Jr., D. Pallara, F. Paronetto, M. Preunkert, *On a characterisation of perimeters in* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *via heat semigroup*, Ricerche di Matematica 44 (2005) 615–621.

[11] M. Miranda Jr., D. Pallara, F. Paronetto, M. Preunkert, *Short-time heat flow and functions of bounded variation in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse 16 (2007) 125–145.

[12] M. Preunkert, *A semigroup version of the isoperimetric inequality*, Semigroup Forum 68 (2004) 233–245.

[13] R. S. Strichartz, *Analysis of the Laplacian on the complete Riemannian manifold*, J. Funct. Anal. 52 (1983) 48–79.